

Karlsruhe Institute of Technology

System Architecture Group http://os.itec.kit.edu/

### Simutrace

http://simutrace.org/

# SimuBoost: **Scalable Parallelization of Functional System Simulation**

Marc Rittinghaus, Konrad Miller, Marius Hillenbrand, Frank Bellosa

### **Motivation**

- Want: Operating system performance analysis Application and kernel interaction, memory access patterns, ...
- Need: Functional **full system simulation** to monitor system non-intrusively

# **Existing Techniques**

- Sample and extrapolate
  - [Sherwood et al. SimPoints]
  - Not all applications show phase behavior (gcc) [Weaver et al.]. Less probable for whole system.
  - How to find phases without using simulation first?

#### Challenges:

#### Functional system **simulation too slow** for long-running workloads.

Virtualization	Simulation		
KVM	QEMU	Simics	
~ 1x	~ 100x	~ 1000x	

Average slowdown for: Kernel build, SPECint\_base2006, LAMMPS

### Loss of interaction with non-simulated remote hosts.

- Parallel multi-core simulation [Ding et al. PQEMU] Only scales in number of simulated CPUs.
- **Reduce workload** [KleinOsowski et al. MinneSPEC] Not always possible.

### **Goal:** Scale-out single-core functional full system simulation

### **Basic Idea**

- i[n] i[k] Simulation i[1] Node Sim. Node Node Nodes n
- (1) Split simulation time
- (2) Simulate intervals in parallel

# Approach

### SimuBoost

- Run workload in virtual machine **Preserves interactivity** and network connectivity.
- Create checkpoints at interval boundaries to bootstrap simulations

### **Run simulations in parallel**

Distribute jobs across machines.



Scales with the run-time of the workload.

Applicable to single-core simulations.

How to bootstrap the simulation of i[2...n]?

# **Functional Continuity**



- Virtualization introduces non-determinism Different I/O timing and data between stages.
- Virtualization and simulation drift apart

**Preserving Functional Continuity** 



# **Speedup and Scalability**

- Speedup depends on **speed difference** between virtualization and simulation, and interval length
  - Minimize virtualization overhead... (logging and checkpointing)
  - ...and calculate optimal interval length from speed difference and overheads.
    - Checkpoint downtime Simulation Virtualization Simulation start-up



Predicted **speedup** for 1h workload: **84x** @ **90** nodes (94% parallel efficiency) 100x slowdown, 100ms downtime/checkpoint [Sun et al. Remus], 8% logging overhead, 1s start-up delay

Lightweight Checkpointing	i[k]		
Goale	Downtime Activate CoW	Resume execution	Virtualizatior
			•

- (1) Trap and **log** non-deterministic events Interrupts, timing instructions, ...
- (2) Precisely **replay** events in the simulation [Dunlap et al. ReVirt, Sheldon et al. Retrace], Overhead: <8%
- Virtualization and simulation stay sychronized

Short downtime, small checkpoints Easy & fast access

- **Copy-on-write** checkpointing Resume VM *before* saving memory & HDD.
- **Incremental, hash-based** checkpointing Deduplicate within and across checkpoints. Of modified data, we can deduplicate:
  - RAM pages: 5%-40%
- Disk blocks: 35%-80%



Access via **key-value store** Store <hash, page/block data>-pairs. Checkpoint = list of hashes.

KIT – University of the State of Baden-Wuerttemberg and National Research Center of the Helmholtz Association

